

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,849	09/15/2000	Martin Schuessler	1748X/49153	2146
CROWELL &	7590 05/23/200 MORING, L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1430	0	BHAT, NINA NMN		
Washington, I.	C 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/662,849	SCHUESSLER, MARTIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
N. Bhat	1797	

	N. Bhat	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request			
a) \(\frac{1}{2} \) The period for reply expires \(\frac{9}{2} \) months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07?	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period at under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) roby raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise he issue of new matter (see NOTE bolo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		,	,			
non-allowable claim(s). To proproses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claims (s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	cplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		*				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		Condition for anowari	Je pecause:			
13. Other:						
	/N. Bhat/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1797				

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the examiner recognizes that Autenrieth et al. do not teach the end plates and the construction of the end plates and the rost, the stacked reactor construion which is modular in design would inherently be housed in a container or housing, the stacked layers are not freely floating, the orientation of the layers as well as the feed inlets and outlets are such that there are ends on the reactor or a housing which would function as the end plates. Autenrieth teaches reactor having a modular construction as described as well as the inlet and outlets as described would be supported by the stacked layers, the stacked layers themselves are supported by the housing not shown. Admittedly, the housing or end plates have not been specifically recited but it would have been obvious if not inherent that a housing or containment of the layered noular construction of the stacked layers is required and an obvious expedient from reading and examining the Figures of Autenrieth. The stacked plates are not one familiar with modular reactor design. It is the position taken by the examiner that the housing or the modular construction functions equivalently to applicant's end plates and ter of system for keeping and maintaining the stack in a particular orientation. With respect to the material of the endplates being aluminum this would have been obvious to one one having ordinary skill in the art and the selection of the construction material in reactor design in a well within the puriew of the ordinary artisan.